

THE VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347 TO LOCAL FBI FIELD OFFICE
FBI Los Angeles Suite 1700, 11000 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California
90024-3672 Tel (310) 477-6565 Attn: Terry Wade, Special Agent
san.francisco@ic.fbi.gov FBIvictimassistance@ic.fbi.gov foiparequest@ic.fbi.gov

FIFTH REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION BY FBI

By the Victims from the Town of Hinkley, CA 92347, per attached hereto Signatures Pages.

Contact Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Date of Request: July 10, 2015

Attached hereto and incorporated herein for reference is EXHIBIT "O".

ALLEGATIONS

1. False representations before the State of California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board that Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) has only operated for one year treatment units, thus not enough sufficient time for the byproduct Dissolved Uranium to percolate to the Aquifer.

EXHIBIT "O" contradicts, in fact PG&E has operated treatment unit for the past 24 years, thus substantial time (over the average of 6 years) has elapsed and PG&E's byproducts dissolved Arsenic and Dissolved Uranium has percolated and has poisoned all aquifers beneath the town of Hinkley, CA 92347 and poisoned within the ground drinking and for all intensive purposes the potable waters.

2. False representations before the State of California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board that Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), that PG&E's operations are exactly the same as the Dairy's Farms agricultural operations, triggering substantial misleading past and current actions by the Board, not limited to the Board's promulgated statements that the drinking water in Hinkley Valley is safe to drink.

3. Intentional concealment of facts, by avoiding to address the known fact that the Aquifers beneath the town of Hinkley, CA 92347 are poisoned with the byproduct Dissolved Arsenic.

Such assertions are not only incomprehensible, vague and ambiguous, but fraudulent, and FBI must investigate the true facts presented herein, before more casualties occurs, as a direct result of the Victims from Hinkley being using poisoned ground water.

THE AQUIFERS BENEATH THE TOWN OF HINKLEY, CA 92347 MUST BE INVESTIGATED FOR POISONOUS AND HIGHLY TOXIS SUBSTANCES ARSENIC AND URANIUM, BY UTILIZING, DOMESTIC WELLS TO ACCESS TO, AT THE 33 LOCATIONS, PER ATTACHED HERETO LIST.

POISONED AQUIFERS WITH ARSENIC AND URANIUM, ENTIRE TOWN OF HINKLEY, CA 92347

POISONED WITH / CONCENTRATION	LATITUDE COORDINATES	LONGITUDE COORDINATES	AQUIFER #
URANIUM AT 70 pCi/L	EX. 9 - Wells		1
ARSENIC AT 2,500 ppb			2
ARSENIC 130 ppb			3
ARSENIC AT 740 ppb Alleged area coordinates (applicable) therefrom adjacent area coordinates			6 88
ARSENIC AT 19 ppb			7
ARSENIC AT 270 ppb			8
URANIUM AT 35 pCi/L			10
ARSENIC AT 57 ppb			11
ARSENIC AT 34 ppb			12
ARSENIC AT 9.9 ppb			13
ARSENIC AT 350 ppb			14
ARSENIC AT 140 ppb			16
ARSENIC AT 73 ppb			19
ARSENIC AT 19 ppb			21
URANIUM AT 49 ug/L			22
URANIUM AT 70 pCi/L Alleged area coordinates (applicable) therefrom adjacent area coordinates			1 23
URANIUM AT 49 ug/L			24
URANIUM AT 49 ug/L			25

California Attorney General Office, DOJ Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, California 94612-0550	OGWDW - 4601M Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water U. S. EPA Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460
Diane Trujilo, Enforcement Agent CAL / EPA ENFORCEMENT 1001 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814	The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Senator United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary 224 Dirksen Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510-6050
The Honorable Leandra R. Kruger Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102-4797	Clark Hansen, Chief Deputy District Attorney SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION – ENVIRONMENTAL CRIMES 14455 Civic Dr., Suite 300 Victorville, CA 92392
The Honorable Joanne B. O'Donnell The California Supreme Court Committee on Judicial Ethics Opinions 350 McAllister Street, Room 1144A San Francisco, California 94102	Hon. Bob Wieckowski, Senator State Capitol, Room 3086, Sacramento, CA 95814-4900 Tel (916) 651-4010

[The body of the document contains several paragraphs of text that are extremely faint and largely illegible due to the quality of the scan. The text appears to be a formal document, possibly a report or a letter, with multiple lines of justified text. Some words and phrases are difficult to discern, but the overall structure suggests a formal communication.]

EVIDENTIARY EXHIBIT “O”

OPERATIONS BY PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PG&E) THE IN-SITU AND AGRICULTURAL (LAND) TREATMENT UNITS, COMMENCED OPERATION JUNE 6, 1991, HINKLEY, CA 92347

TWENTY FOUR YEARS OF FAILED IN-SITU AND TREATMENTS' OPERATIONS BY PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PG&E), RESULTING IN PERCOLATION OF EXTRACTED BYPRODUCTS, THE DISOLVED HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM, ARSENIC AND URANIUM, BACK TO AQUIFERS AND GROUND DRINKING WATER BENEATH HINKLEY, CA 92347 (ALTHOUGH, PURPORTED CLAIMS BY PG&E, THAT CHROMIUM WAS PARTIALLY ABATED, IT IS EXTREMELY INSIGNIFICANT TO CONSIDER AS ABATEMENT, IN CUMULATIVE IMPACTS)

(BASED UPON REPORTS TRANSMITTED BY PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA LAHONTAN REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD EVIDENCED BY RESPECTIVE INTERNET LINK TO THE WATER BOARD GEOTRAKER WEBSITE AND BASED UPON REPORTS BY THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES)

For over twenty four years, not one year as **falsely** purported by PG&E's report to the Board, http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/6337839484/SL0607111288.PDF Pacific Gas and Electric Company has operated treatment units in the Dairy's facilities located throughout Hinkley, CA 92347, purportedly aimed to remove the historical poisoning with the Hexavalent Chromium aquifers and the respective within ground drinking and for all other intensive purposes potable waters, throughout the entire town of Hinkley, CA 92347, now construed as failed operations, due to not removed Hexavalent Chromium, as of July 10, 2015.

PG&E has **falsely** purported that their agricultural (land) treatment operations are exactly the same as existing dairy farms' operations. None of the pre-existing farms have ever used: "solution hydrogen peroxide and nine 50-pound bags of anhydrous citric acid", and none have "injected citric acid solution into the drip irrigation tubing" as well as carbon substrates (lactate, whey, emulsified vegetable oil, resins and other chemical constituents. (Page 10)

http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/9960004508/SL0607111288.PDF
http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/1467553555/SL0607111288.PDF
and as quoted: "In a recent letter titled Response to Correspondence Received Regarding Arsenic and Uranium in Hinkley, San Bernardino County, the Water Board acknowledged that agricultural activities in the broader Hinkley Valley are comparable with PG&E's ATUs, stating the following: "It should be noted that remedial agricultural units operate exactly the same as non-remedial irrigated agricultural fields, which have existed in Hinkley since the

POISONED AQUIFERS WITH ARSENIC AND URANIUM, ENTIRE TOWN OF HINKLEY, CA 92347

POISONED WITH / CONCENTRATION	LATITUDE COORDINATES	LONGITUDE COORDINATES	AQUIFER #
ARSENIC AT 19 ppb	EX. 9 - Wells		26
ARSENIC AT 470 ppb			27
ARSENIC AT 46 ppb			28
ARSENIC AT 150 ppb			29
ARSENIC AT 79 ppb			30
ARSENIC AT 19 ppb			33
ARSENIC AT 210 ppb			37
URANIUM AT 49 ug/L			38
ARSENIC AT 76 ppb			39
ARSENIC AT 11			51
ARSENIC AT 120 ppb			53
ARSENIC AT 140 ppb			57
ARSENIC AT 54 ppb			58
ARSENIC AT 24 ppb			61
ARSENIC AT 13 ppb			62
ARSENIC AT 30 ppb			78
ARSENIC AT 740 ppb			88
ppb – parts per billion for Arsenic	pCi/L - picocurie per liter and	ug/L – microgram per liter	for Uranium

MAILING LIST

<p>California Environmental Protection Agency Cal/EPA Law Enforcement and Counsel Office 1001 "I" Street Sacramento, California 95814</p>	<p>The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Senator United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary 224 Dirksen Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510-6050</p>
<p>Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) Prop 65 ARSENIC Attn: Cynthia Oshita, (Disclosure) P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, California 95812</p>	<p>Hon. Barbara Boxer, U.S. Senator U.S. Senate Committee on Environment 112 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510</p>
<p>Hon. Jerry Hill, Chair California Senate EQC Oversight State Capitol, Room 2205 Sacramento, California 95814</p>	<p>Hon. Nancy Patricia D'Alesandro Pelosi U. S. Congresswoman United States House of Representatives 233 Cannon H.O.B. Washington, DC 20515</p>
<p>Hon. Luis A. Alejo, Assembly Member Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee 1020 N Street, Room 171 Sacramento, California 95814</p>	<p>Hon. Paul Cook, U.S. Congressman United States House of Representatives 1222 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515-0508</p>
<p>Attn: Gary Edward Tavetian, Esq. Supervising Deputy Attorney General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, DOJ Natural Resources Law Section 300 S. Spring Street, #5000 Los Angeles, California 90013</p>	<p>Attn: Julie Jordan; Dan Drazan; Tracy Back US EPA Criminal Investigation Division (CID) Los Angeles Resident Office 600 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90017</p>
<p>Ross Sevy, District Director Office of Jay Obernolte, Assemblyman 15900 Smoke Tree Street, Suite 125 Hesperia, California 92345</p>	<p>Attn: Deborah L. Harris; W. Benjamin Fisherow U.S. DOJ / Environmental Enforcement Environment and Natural Resources Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001</p>
<p>Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, California 94612-0550</p>	<p>Attn: Bill L. Lewis; Kendrick D. Williams; Terry Wade; Joseph O. Johns; Patrick Bohrer FBI Investigation Division 11000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90024</p>

1920s. Thus, if it is shown that agricultural treatment is affecting uranium levels (by mobilizing natural uranium), then current agricultural activities (not related to PG&E's remediation) outside the chromium plume, as well as historical agricultural activities throughout Hinkley Valley, are also likely to have affected uranium levels" (Page 11).

Such assertions are not only incomprehensible, vague and ambiguous, but fraudulent.

PG&E has also falsely distorted the issue of percolation timing of extracted ground water, prone to contain byproduct, such as dissolved uranium, all in an effort to conceal the true facts. http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/6337839484/SL0607111288.PDF

"Vadose zone modeling was conducted to estimate ranges of potential travel times for irrigation water applied at each ATU to reach groundwater, beginning when the ATU WDRs were issued in March 2014."

"Modeling results show the minimum travel time as 3 years (April 2017) before significant irrigation water (50 percent of the concentration applied in irrigation water) percolates to groundwater at two ATUs (DVD and Gorman South), with average travel times exceeding 6 years (2020 or later) at each of the six ATUs."

"Therefore, at this time, groundwater data are insufficient for determining the effect of ATU operations on pre-existing elevated levels of TDS and radionuclides."

"Additional data collection over time will be necessary to evaluate the long-term effects (if any) of current ATU operations on TDS and radionuclide concentrations." (Page 5)

It is difficult to comprehend how anyone could have made such a statement in light of the facts

Such assertions are not only incomprehensible, vague and ambiguous, but fraudulent.

FACTUAL CONCLUSION

SINCE PG&E'S TREATMENT'S OPERATIONS DID COMMENCED TWENTY FOUR YEARS AGO (24), WAY MORE THAN AMPLE TIME HAS ELAPSED AND THE BYPRODUCTS SUCH AS THE DISOLVED URANIUM AND ARSENIC DID PERCOLATE INTO THE AQUIFERS AND THE RESPECTIVE WITHIN GROUND DRINKING AND FOR ALL OTHER INTENSIVE PURPOSES POTABLE WATERS, AND AGAIN POISONED ALL AQUIFERS BENEATH HINKLEY, CA 92347

"Public policy can rightly be said to be found in the concept that the public interest in a pure water supply gives rise to a special relationship to one who pollutes that supply in some substantial fashion. However, there may be no public policy to be served if the pollution occurs at a time and in a manner when no one knows, or ought to know, that the acts now complained of endanger the public. The existence of facts necessary to make the determination of any such special relationship, as well as the factual background to determine whether public policy principles should be applied, are triable issues best left to the trier of fact."

((Judge LeRoy Simmons' Opinion, 6/13/94)

[illegible]

1. The first step in the process of the investigation is the identification of the problem. This is done by the investigator who is assigned to the case. The investigator will then gather information about the problem and the people involved. This information will be used to develop a plan of action.

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a formal address, and it is the first of its kind since the signing of the Constitution. The President, James Buchanan, is addressing the Congress, and he is doing so in a very formal and dignified manner. He is discussing the state of the Union, and he is discussing the issues that are facing the country at that time. He is also discussing the role of the President, and he is discussing the responsibilities of the Congress. The letter is a very important document, and it is a very interesting one to read. It gives us a glimpse into the mind of a President, and it gives us a glimpse into the state of the country at that time. It is a document that is worth reading, and it is a document that is worth studying.

Figure 1 The effect of the number of nodes on the performance of the proposed algorithm.

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

[illegible]

1. The first group of people who are interested in the study of the history of the United States are the people who are interested in the history of the United States. This group of people is interested in the history of the United States because they want to know more about the country they live in. They want to know about the people who lived in the United States in the past and how they lived. They want to know about the events that happened in the United States and how they affected the country. They want to know about the people who were important in the history of the United States and what they did. They want to know about the things that the United States has done in the past and how they have changed the world. They want to know about the things that the United States has done in the future and how they will change the world. They want to know about the things that the United States has done in the past, present, and future and how they have changed the world.

FACTS

“On June 6, 1991, PG&E submitted a soil and groundwater remediation workplan and a waste discharge report for the groundwater treatment system to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board-Lahontan Region”

http://www.ehib.org/projects/PGEHinckleyPHA_120400.pdf

“On September 12, 1991, LRWQCB accepted the workplan and discharge report. The plan called for a series of extraction wells to remove the contaminated water. The contaminated water is sprayed onto a section of each of two PG&E owned and controlled Land Treatment Fields. One of PG&E's Land Treatment Fields is located on the corner of Community Boulevard and Sommetset Road (referred to as the East Landfarm); the second one is located north of State Highway 58 and near Mountain View Road (referred to as the Ranch Landfarm).”

Nitrates from fertilizer and dairy farm runoff, manganese and other organic compounds in drinking water are not at-issue whatsoever. Addressing such compounds in an effort to unscrupulously dilute the real issue of the poisoned aquifers and the respective within ground drinking and whole house waters with the primary and the most highly toxic substances, dissolved byproducts Arsenic and Uranium, is the subject matter question.

ONGOING CONCEALMENT OF FACTS AS TO POISONED AQUIFERS AND THE RESPECTIVE WITHIN DRINKING AND POTABLE WATERS WITH ARSENIC

Despite many demands by the State of California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board upon Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), to address the dissolved Arsenic, as byproduct of the failed In-Situ, land and agricultural operations that commenced by PG&E in 1991, found in the aquifers and the respective within drinking and potable waters beneath the Hinkley, PG&E has wantonly and unscrupulously avoided, for over a decade, to provide meaningful results, despite the fact that PG&E has tested many wells, which exhibited over the legal limits results, however reluctantly provided only “cherry picked” few partial results.

CONCEALMENT OF FACTS AND/OR DISTORTION OF TRUE FACTS IS A FELONY.

“In 1997, a family from Hinkley, concerned about environmental exposures to Chromium VI at the PG&E -plant and seeking assistance, wrote letters to the President of the United States, to elected officials, and to several federal agency directors”

Eighteen years later, the Victims from Hinkley, CA 92347, again wrote letter to the President, addressed to Mike Boots, Acting Chair Obama CEQ and twenty two other state and federal officials, per the mailing list attached hereto for reference.

REFERENCES (Reports found therein State of California Geotracker website from inception)

Date of PG&E Report, or Date Board's Order	Link (From June 1, 1987 to July 27, 2004 All Reports are removed from Geotracker Website) (Reason ?)
June 6, 1991	http://www.ehib.org/projects/PGEHinckleyPHA_120400.pdf
July 27, 2004	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/regulators/deliverable_documents/1516970574/r6v-2004-0034_pge_wdr.pdf
July 28, 2005	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/9117078483/SL0607111288.PDF
April 28, 2006	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/5997785270/SL0607111288.PDF
July 31, 2006	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/9960004508/SL0607111288.PDF
January 30, 2007	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/7375842034/SL0607111288.PDF
April 30, 2008	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/8995776116/SL0607111288.PDF
May 15, 2008	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/3910740733/SL0607111288.PDF
November 14, 2008	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/4014843165/SL0607111288.PDF
April 24, 2009	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/9712084710/SL0607111288.PDF
July 29, 2009	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/2435533821/SL0607111288.PDF
January 29, 2010	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/2085984587/SL0607111288.PDF
September 30 2010	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/6116044402/SL0607111288.PDF
October 29, 2010	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/9947138488/SL0607111288.PDF
April 29, 2011	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/8209385693/SL0607111288.PDF
April 27, 2012	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/1707015275/SL0607111288.PDF
May 15, 2012	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/2846860766/SL0607111288.PDF
July 12, 2012	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/4791184425/SL0607111288.PDF
November 30, 2012	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/5340056657/SL0607111288.PDF Under penalty of perjury and to the best of my knowledge, the attached report is true, complete, and correct. / s/ Kevin Sullivan
January 29, 2014	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/9027376294/SL0607111288.PDF
November 20, 2014	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/4652345776/SL0607111288.PDF (4,300 Pages)
May 22, 2015	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/8848090469/SL0607111288.PDF
June 13, 2015	http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/esi/uploads/geo_report/6337839484/SL0607111288.PDF